App. F. IX-4

1928.

(C.B

CB File No. 207-28

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Previous References

CB 3-23-1, & 23
do 44 & 45
do 57, 58 & 59.

Later References

CB 48-29-34
GE 10-33-55.
CB 110-37-14

Revenue

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for July 1929

SUBJECT

Grant of an enhanced pension of Rs 237-8-0 per mensem to Mr. C. E. Rama Rao, Registrar General & Rev Secrets

2. G.O: no. C.B. 434 d. 29.7.29.
Directs the retirement of Mr. C.E. Rama Rao from 14.9.29.
Copy to Fin Secy. with the information that Mr. Rama Rao will be given an arbitrary pension of Rs 237-8-0 p.m.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 192 . C/1 3516

Serial No.

Submitted

I request that I may be kindly granted combined leave for six months made up of privilege leave for four months combined with furlough on full pay for two months from (X) 14th September 1929, the date on which I attain 55 years of age.

This is admissible under Art 150 (A) Imp. Medical Service Regulations.

I have not taken any privilege leave or furlough during the whole of my service of over 32 years.

The grant of this request will also enable me to earn a little higher pension.

(Chief Secretary) C. Ramalinga Rau 25.3.29

For favour of remarks

RG 26/3/29

Fin. Secretary

Compts.

(X) He is under orders of retirement from this date;

A

A. Grant of leave after superannuation is not admissible under the rules.

21.4 Copy to Mr. Rama Rau. 6. Life. The combined leave applied for cannot be sanctioned. 6. Returned.

RG 27.3.29

D. Swan Thro 28/3/29

Vice Chancellor

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

CB 3515

Submitted

I beg to submit the following representation for a kind reconsideration of the orders of Government fixing my pay in the revised scale (R250-25-450) of non-civilian officers of the Secretariat.

A

2. In G.O.No.G.5521-27/G.M.33-17-1 dated 13th October 1917, the posts of Registrar, General and Revenue Secretariat and one Assistant Secretary to Government (which had been included in the cadre of Assistant Commissioners) were thrown open to the members of the ministerial establishment of the Secretariat. I was accordingly appointed Registrar, sub-pro-tem from 20th December 1918. The posts of Registrar and Assistant Secretary to Government referred to above were included in the cadre of Assistant Commissioners till 27th September 1921 when (under G.O. No.0.788-95/Cash.29-21-1 dated 27th September 1921) these two posts were exluded from the Civil Service cadre. My name was accordingly removed from the Civil Service cadre and from 27th September 1921 I was shown as a non-civilian Assistant Secretary or --- Registrar.

B (para 2)

3. In G.O. No.17-61/C.B.-98-18-3 dated 3rd July 1923 the scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners was revised from 250-50-450 to 250-25-450 with effect from the year 1923-24 and shortly afterwards the same scale was extended to non-Civil Service Assistant Secretaries (and --- Registrar) and Assistant Comptrollers also with effect from the same date. In fixing the pay of the several officers in the new scale, the following principles were adopted:-

Vide GOs read in preamble of G.O. 3498/CB-3-23 dt 1-5-24.

Vide para 1 of notes in CB 3-23-5-7 to 59 7.D.

(1) In the case of permanent and provisionally permanent officers, two-thirds of their service prior to 1st July 1922 from the date of last increment, was counted

for increments in the revised scale.

(2) In the case of Sub-pro-tem and acting ~~Assistan~~ Assistant Commissioners two-thirds of their whole ac ing service prior to 1st July 1922 was counted for -- increments.

The pay of the officers as on 1st July 1923 and the date of drawing the next increment, were definite y fixed on each case in accordance with the above principles.

4. In G.O.No.3498/C.B.3-23 dated 1st May 1924, my pay was fixed at R. 275 from 1-7-1923 and the date of next increment was indicated as 1st January 1924. I understand that in fixing my pay as above credit has been given to my services only from 27th September 19 the date from which the posts of Registrar and one Assistant Secretary to Government were excluded from the Civil Service Cadre. The period during which I acted as Assistant Commissioner (Registrar) from 20th December 1918 to 26th September 1921 was however not allowed to count. Thus there has been no increase whatever to my salary of R. 250 + R. 50 Duty Allowance which I was drawing for over 4½ years from 20th Dece ber 1918 to 1st July 1923. This appears to have been done under the first of the principles referred to above as I had been confirmed in May 1923. On the other hand if my pay had been calculated on the basis of the second of the principles referred to above (applicable to acting and sub-pro-tem officers) this period would (as I had only that status on 1st July 1922) have counted and I would have been eligible to pay of R. 325 from 1-7-1923 and R. 350 from 1st March 1924. My representations for the grant of this salary

were not viewed favourably. I am afraid this might be due to my case being linked up along with that of some other officers (Messrs. Sri Narasimha Sastry, and S. Reuben) whose cases stand on a somewhat different footing.

I beg to state that my case differs from theirs as indicated below:

(i) Though the revised scale of pay was given effect to from 1st July 1923, it was ordered in G.O.No.1521-70/C.S. 3-23 4 dated 6th November 1923 that for purposes of calculating the pay under the new scale, it would "be deemed to have been introduced from 1-7-1922."

(ii) I was only sub-pro-tem on 1-7-1922 while the other officers whose cases were considered with mine were provisionally permanent on that date.

5. I beg to submit that my pay might reasonably have been fixed under the principles applicable to acting and sub-protem officers, as I had only a Sub-protem status on 1st July 1922.

6. In this connection I beg to submit that the Superintendents of the Comptroller's office appointed to act as Assistant Comptrollers were being allowed to count even their acting service for increments in the grade Rs.250-50/3-450. As under the Government Order dated 18th October 1917, my appointment as sub-protem Registrar from 20th December 1918 was also a promotion from the post of Deputy Registrar, which I then held and as I was transferred to the cadre of non-civilian officers I beg to request that my case also be treated in the same way as that of a non-civilian ---

Assistant Comptroller and that I may be allowed to count my service for increment from 20th December 1918 instead of from 27th September 1921 as ordered in the Government Order dated 1st May 1924 and also permitted to draw the arrears of increment due to me according to the rules in force for granting increments to non-civilian Assistant Comptrollers.

Chief Sec.) C. Ramakan
25.3.1929

C.B. 3515

RG 26/3/29

The references quoted are put up.

In G.O. dated 1st May 1924, Mr. Rama Rao's pay (as non-Civilian Secretariat Officer) in the revised Scale of 250-20-450 was fixed at Rs 275 from 1.7.23 & the date of next increment was shown as 1.1.24.

Mr. Rama Rao's prayer is that in fixing his pay, the acting service put in by him between 20.12.18 and 26.9.21 was not taken into account and if this were done he would have got Rs 325 from 1.2.1923 and Rs 350 from 1.3.24.

This prayer was not acceded to.

The facts of the case are fully discussed in the office notes of the two files C.B. 13-23-57 to 59 and C.B. 3-23-45.

1. Endorsement 1192/CB 3-23-45 d. 14-11-25 F.F.
2. G.O. 473/CB 3-23-59 d. 2.9.26. F.G.

F & G.

Submd. Devanthu R.G. 1-4-29.
C.A. Secy.
30/8/29

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

There is some force in the contention of Mr Rama Rao that the "principles" on which the starting pay of officers was fixed after the Reorganisation were not correctly applied in his case and that he might possibly have got two more increments But this request has been negatived more than once and it does not seem possible to reopen the case x But the fact that

(Linked) (Put a Note)

In the file placed below Mr Rama Rao has asked for permission to utilise 6 months' leave after the date of superannuation. The Secy has pointed out that the request is inadmissible. But in several cases leave for 2 months has been granted to officers & Specially after the date of superannuation and I would suggest a similar concession being shown to Mr Rama Rao.

Mr Rama Rao was deprived of increments which he might have legitimately got may perhaps be taken into consideration in fixing his pension.

Secy 2/4/29

(Secy)

P. F. O.

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

Mr. Rama Rao was appointed Registrar, sub-protem on 20th December 1918. The posts of Registrar and (one Assistant Secretary to Govt.) were included in the cadre of Assistant Commissioners till 27th September 1921 when these two posts were excluded from the Civil Service cadre. Mr. Rama Rao's name was accordingly removed from the Civil Service from that date and he was confirmed in the non Civil Service appointment on 14th May 1923. The revision of the grade pay of the Civil Service Assistant Commissioners and non Civil Service Officers was sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1923.

In fixing the initial pay of Officers in the new scale the following principles were adopted,

(1) In the case of permanent and provisionally permanent Assistant Commissioners, 2/3rd of their service as such prior to 1st July 1922 with the whole of the service thereafter was counted for increments in the revised scale.

(2) In the case of sub-protem and acting Assistant Commissioners, 2/3rd of their whole acting service prior to 1st July 1922 and service rendered thereafter in full were ordered to be counted for increments.

Mr. Rama Rao's acting service as Registrar comprised 2 parts. The first part related to the period from 20th ~~Sept~~ Dec ember 1918 to 27th September 1921 during which period, the post of Registrar was included in the Civil Service cadre. The second part represented the period from 27th

WD 2343—GPB—500—12-3-29

Serial No.

27th September 1921 to 14th May 1923, during which period he was shown as Registrar in the non Civil Service cadre.

In fixing Mr. Rama Rao's pay, the acting service rendered by him from 27th September 1921 as non Civil Service Officer has been taken into account and the previous acting service in the ~~acting~~ Civil Service appointment has been omitted. The counting of the non Civil Service part of acting service was exactly in accordance with the treatment accorded to the Assistant Comptrollers of the non Civil Service cadre and the rejection of the Civil Service part of the acting service was the consequence of the adoption of principle No. (1) referred to above. Mr. Rama Rao's grievance is that the latter service should also have been taken into account in calculating his initial pay in the new grade. This contention has been examined more than once in the past and has been rejected as untenable.

R

Reference is invited to Dewan's submission note of 24th July 1926, para 21. It was not considered reasonable to grant retrospectively the benefit of counting for increments under the new rules service not eligible under the rules when an officer became permanent. The principle adopted of counting the whole of the acting service in the case of officers who were not permanent or provisionally permanent at the time of the re-organisation was justified on the ground that service in such cases (as Assistant Commissioners) was uninterrupted and their promotion was unduly belated.

WD 2343—GPB—500—12-3-29

Serial No.

While I consider that Mr. Rama Rao's initial pay in the new progressive grade has been fixed strictly in accordance with the principles enunciated by Government at the time of the revision, there is one special feature to which I should like to invite attention here. Mr. Rama Rao's acting service extended over a period of nearly 4½ years. He was made permanent about a month and half prior to the date of the re-organisation. If his confirmation had been postponed by a couple of months he would have been entitled to ~~have~~ the benefit of the special treatment contemplated under principle No.(2) and his pay for purposes of calculation of average emoluments would have been increased by about Rs 50/- per mensem and his pension would have been more by about Rs 25/-..

He is due to retire on 14th September 29. Mr. Rama Rao's last pay is Rs 425 plus a duty allowance of Rs 50/- which also counts for pension According to the audit office calculations, he ~~Mr Rama Rao~~ will be entitled to a pension of Rs 221-5-0 which is less than half of his last pay + allowance.

In view of the peculiar circumstances of the case I would support Mr.Madhava Rao's recommendation for some special treatment to Mr. Rama Rao and would suggest that an arbitrary pension of half of his pay and duty allowance viz., 475/2 or Rs 237-8-0 may be sanctioned with effect from the date of his retirement. If this concession is shown the increase in pensionary charge will be about Rs 16-3-0 per mensem.

If any further concession is called for, privilege leave of 2 months from 14th September

WD 2343—GPB—500—12-3-29

Serial No.

September 1929 may also as recommended by Mr.Madhava Rao ~~also~~ be granted.

21.4.929.

May be considered at the next Council meeting. RG 22/4/29

Dewan.

25-4-29

Include in the agenda

RG 23/4/29

CS 23/4/29

DEWAN'S OFFICE 23 APR. 1929 No. 4862

Included RG 23/4/29

WD 2343—GPB—500—12-3-29

(For Disposal at the next Meeting)

No. C. 389
of 1928-29.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause XIII of the schedule)

Representation of Mr. C.E. Rama Rao, Registrar, General and Revenue Secretariat, regarding his emoluments and for grant of leave after superannuation.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

P. Rangaiah

23rd April 1929.

Chief Secretary to Government.

First Member of Council. 23/4/29

Second Member of Council.

Third Member of Council.

D2

Extract from the Proceedings of the 838th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 26th April 1929. *19*.

89. Subject. - Clause v of the schedule.

Representation of Mr.C.E.Rama Rao, Registrar, General and Revenue Secretariat regarding his emoluments and for grant of leave after superannuation.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

(1) No leave can be given after the date of superannuation.

(2) The pension may be fixed at Rs.237-8-0 as proposed by the Financial Secretary.

(Signed) Mirza M. Ismail,

Dewan of Mysore.

DSN. Sastry
RG
26/4/29

"EXTRACT"

R. Rameeler

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

Submitted to His Highness.

Mr. C. E. Rama Rao, Registrar, General and Revenue Secretariat is under orders of retirement from the 14th September 1929, the date on which he becomes superannuated. He has now submitted a representation repeating his former prayer that the period from December 1918 to September 1921 during which he acted as Assistant Commissioner may also be taken into consideration and his emoluments fixed accordingly.

This request of Mr. Rama Rao was considered more than once in Council and it was declined. The Chief Secretary Mr. N. Madhava Rao has noted on his present representation that "there is some force in the contention of Mr. Rama Rao that the 'principles' on which the starting pay of officers was fixed after the re-organisation were not correctly applied in his case and that he might possibly have got two more increments. But as his request has been negatived more than once, it does not seem possible to reopen the case". He, however, recommends that some consideration may be shown to Mr. Rama Rao in fixing his pension.

The Financial Secretary states that Mr. Rama Rao's last pay is Rs425 plus a duty allowance of Rs50 which also counts for pension and according to the Audit Office calculations, Mr.Rama Rao will be entitled to a pension of Rs221-5-0. In the peculiar circumstances of the case, the Financial Secretary recommends that an arbitrary pension of half of his pay and duty allowance, viz., Rs237-8-0 may be sanctioned to him with effect from the date of his retirement. If this concession is sanctioned, Mr. Rama Rao will get an increased pension of Rs16-3-0 per mensem.

The matter was considered in Council at the meeting held on the 26th April 1929 when the following resolution was recorded:-

(1) No leave can be given after the date of superannuation

(2) The pension may be fixed at Rs237-8-0 as proposed by the Financial Secretary.

The recommendation of the Council may be graciously approved.

Mirza M. Ismail

Dewan.

Bangalore,
27th April 1929.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Representation of Mr. C.E.Rama Rao, Registrar, General and Revenue Secretariat, regarding his emoluments and for grant of leave after superannuation.

Order

K.R.M.
1/5/29

C. Leg
Mr
2-5-29

CB. 3516

389

General and Revenue Secretariat

SUBJECT

Representation of Mr. C. E. Rama Rau, Registrar, Gen. & Rev. Secrtt., re. his emoluments and for grant of leave after superannuation.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

By Order,

R. Ranga Rao

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department,

6871/6/5

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

6/5/24

8558/6/5

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

7/5.

11810/6/5

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

6/5/24

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

6/5/24

Serial No.

Formal orders regarding the grant of Special pension have perhaps to issue from the Financial Branch and this may be done later on after a formal G.O. retiring Mr. C.E. Rama Rao from 14.9.24 issues.

A draft D.O. Communicating the decision to Mr. Rama Rao with regard to his representation is put up for approval of the addl

G.O. 11/5/29

Addl Sec

Fin Sec

12.5.29

To Mr. C. E. Rama Rao
No 4959 d. 21.5.29

Informs him that no leave can be granted nor any increase of pay, but that ~~as a special case~~ as a special case he would be given an enhanced pension of Rs 237-8-0 p.m.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 3576

[App. F. III—2]
of 192

Serial No.	
	Formal orders regarding the pension have perhaps to issue from Fin. Secrett., after retirement. If approved a copy of the reply sent to Mr C. S. Rama Rao will be sent to Fin. Secrett for information and necessary action in due course.
	Submd C.S.R. 6/6/29
	R.R. 25/6/29 DY
	CHIEF SECRETARY 6 JUNE 1929 Dmpt. G.V. is submd. for approval.
	For perusal & signature 26/7/29 R.R. 26/7/29
	CHIEF SECRETARY 26 JULY 1929 Fin. Secy. with compts 26/7/29
	R.R. 27/7/29
2	No. C. B. 434/CB-207-28-2 & 29-7-29 To Comptroller, Mysore Government Directing that Mr C. S. Rama Rao Registrar I. R. S. be retired from service with effect from 14th September 1929
	Memo No. 435 & 29-7-29 to Financial Secy to Govt Intimating that Mr Rama Rao will as a special case be given an arbitrary pension of Rs. 237-8-0 per mensem
	Memo No. 436 & 29-7-29 Copy to Mr C. S. Rama Rao

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

No. 4959 I.C.S 207-28-1

21.5.29

To. C. E. Rama Rao Esq BA
Registrar G.R.S.

Dear Sir/Madam

With reference to your representations dated 25th March 1929 ~~in the~~ in regard to increase of your emoluments, grant of leave subsequent to date of superannuation etc., I am directed to state that ~~Govt~~ no leave can be granted to you after the date of your superannuation ~~And~~ nor any increase of pay

Govt. are however pleased to sanction as a special case the grant of a pension of Rs 237-8-0 per mensem

from the date of your
retirement from service,
viz 14th Sept 1929.

Formal order will issue
in the matter in due Course

Yours sincerely,

RJ
(2)

Chief Secretary.

D.O.Letter No.4959/C.B.207-28-1 dated 21st May 1929 from the Chief Secretary to Government to C.E.Rama RaoEsq.,B.A., Registrar,General and Revenue Secretariat.

-----:0:-----

With reference to your representations dated 25th March 1929 in regard to increase of your emoluments, grant of leave subsequent to date of superannuation etc., I am directed to state that no leave can be granted to you after the date of your superannuation; nor any increase of pay.

Government are however pleased to sanction as a special case the grant of a pension of Rs.237-8-0 per mensem from the date of your retirement from service viz.,14th September 1929.

Formal orders will issue in the matter in due course.

----:0:----

HKS.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Order No. CB. 434 / CB. 207-28-2
Dated, Bangalore, the 29th July 1929.

ISSUED.

Government direct that Mr. C.E.Rama Rao, B.A., Registrar, General & Revenue Secretariat, be retired from the service with effect from the 14th September 1929.

26/7/29.
Chief Secretary to Govt.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

436/C.B. 29/7/29 Copy to Mr. C.E.Rama Rao.

...

435/C.B. 29/7/29 Copy to the Finl. Secretary, with compliments, with the intimation that Mr. Rama Rao will, as a special case, be given an arbitrary pension of Rs. 237/8 per mensem.

By order,

26/7/29
Chief Secretary to Govt.

29/7/29.

Copy to DS

MRN.

C. O. 219—Pen.

P. A. R. No.....

Certificate and Report on the application for Pension / Gratuity on behalf of Sri. C. Ramarao, Registrar

	Ys.	M.	D.		Ys.	M.	D.		Ys.	M.	D.
Date of entry	1897.	3	15	Date of retirement	1929.	9	14	Date of retirement	1929.	9	14
Date of birth	1874.	9	14	Date of birth	1874.	9	14	Date of entry	1897.	3	15
Age at entry.	22.	5.	21	Age at retirement	55.	0.	0	Total Service	32.	6.	9

		Ys.	M.	D.		
Service from	5.3.97 to 16.5.97 =	0	2	12	@ Ag.	
Do from	17.5.97 to 31.12.25 =	28	7	14	@	
Do from	1.1.26 to 31.12.26 =	1	0	0	@ 350 + 50 D A	
Do from	1.1.27 to 31.12.27 =	1	0	0	@ 375 + 50	
Do from	1.1.28 to 31.12.28 =	1	0	0	@ 400 + 50	
Do from	1.1.29 to 13.9.29 =	0	8	13	@ 425 + 50	
Do from	to =				@	
	Total ...	32	6	9		
Deduct non-qualifying periods and interruptions as shown in the margin		0	2	12		
	Net service =	32	3	27		
Add leave with and without allowances counting as Service under Articles 276 and 280 of the Mysore Service Regulations =		nil				
	Total qualifying Service =	32	3	27		

Last Pay = Rs. 425 + 50 D A

	Ys.	M.	D.
Temp. service	0	2	12
Minor service			
Furlough			
L. P. A			
L. M. C.			
L. W. A.			
Absence without leave			
Suspension			
Service after M. C. Break			
Total ...	0	2	12

Superior

Inferior

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded), qualifying service in superior / ~~inferior~~ grades has been duly proved for 32 years 3 months and 27 days and ~~a Compensation~~ / ~~an Invalid~~ / a Superannuation / ~~a Retiring~~ / ~~a Compassionate~~ Pension / Gratuity not exceeding Rs. 221.5.0 per mensem equal to 30/60 his of average emoluments / month's pay — for each year of qualifying service.

is admissible under Articles 321 and 338 (b)(i) of the Mysore Service Regulations, 5th Edition the pension being payable with effect from 14.9.29.

The calculations have been duly verified and the Pension / Gratuity is chargeable to Civil Revenue.

It is observed, from the remark opposite to No. 1 in the 3rd page of the application, that the character and past conduct of the applicant are.

The Medical Certificate No. ..... dated .....
The certificate of incapacity

Asst. Comptroller

Superan Pension.
~~Gratuity~~ of Rs. 221.5.0 per mensem from 14.9.29 under articles 321 & 338 (b)(i) M. S. R. is admissible ~~and is sanctioned~~.

Bangalore, Comptroller.
Dated 18/4/30

ACCTS. SUPDT. ASST. COMPTR. COMPTROLLER.

Calculation of Average Emoluments

		Ys.	M.	D.		Rs.	as.	p.
From 14.9.26	to 31.12.26	= 0	3	17	@ 350+500A =	1426	10	8
From 1.1.27	to 31.12.27	= 1	0	0	@ 375+50 =	5,100	-	-
From 1.1.28	to 31.12.28	= 1	0	0	@ 400+50 =	5,400	-	-
From 1.1.29	to 18.9.29	= 0	8	13	@ 425+50 =	4,005	13	4
From	to	=			@ =			
From	to	=			@ =			
From	to	=			@ =			
Total period —		= 3	0	0	Total Emoluments drawn	15,932	8	0
					Average Emoluments	442	9	1
					Pension admissible	221	5	0

3 | 15932.8.0
3 | 5310.13.4
4 | 1770.4.5
4.42.9.1
221.4.6 or 221.5.0

Immediate

Asstt Secy. Secy. desires to have a memo of Calculations of the pension admissible to Mr C.E. Rama Rao after the age 55 yrs Regr GRS | the Supdt GSTD is requested to kindly arrange forwarding before 2 P.M today an extract of the History of Services of the above named officer duly Verified for the last 3 yrs

memo of Calculations

Supdt Secretariat

Supdt GSTD
Work Camptt

Mr. C.E. Rama Rao.

Asst Secretary Permanent.

Pay Rs 350 + D.A. 50 from 1-1-26
" 375 + " 50 from 1-1-27
" 400 + " 50 from 1-1-28
" 425 + " 50 from 1-1-29

The last 3 years' Services Verified as above. No leave taken by him during this period.

Supdt
Pension Section GSTD

Mr C.E. Rama Rao is entitled to the pension after the

18/4/29

omits information re the

of leave and leave availed of by him there

from 1st January 1928.

18/4

Submitted

Mr. C.E. Rama Rao will be entitled to a pension of Rs 221-5-0 p.m. which will effect from the date of superannuation as worked out in the enclosed sheet.

18/4/29